

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,751	01/08/2002	Othon K. Rediniotis	T1	3571	
75	04/08/2003				
James W. Hiney, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Suite 1100 1872 Pratt Drive Blacksburg, VA 24060			ELLINGTON, ALANDRA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2855		
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{X}}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Aboundance	10/040,751	REDINIOTIS ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alandra N Ellington	2855
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate o period for reply (including a total extension of time of the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on	f Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on _), which is after the expiration of the
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fill Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	ion consists only of: (1) a timely filed a led Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	mendment which places the
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not cons final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (Se		empt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.		
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL		n the statutory period of three months
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar	nce of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$. The publication fee, if required by 37	7 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.	
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).	equired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	nsmission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of record, the as	signee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter- of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl		se the period for seeking court review
7. The reason(s) below:		
The applicant's representative, James Hiney, stat	ed on 4/1/03 that no respone was	sent in.
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with	SUPERVISO TECHNO	VARD LEFKOWITZ ORY PATENT EXAMINER OLOGY CENTER 2800
minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Gram the holding of abandoninetit under 37	OTA 1. 101, Should be promptly filed to
	ice of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 4